Greener Journal of Social Sciences
Vol. 12(1), pp. 42-51, 2022
ISSN: 2276-7800
Copyright ©2022, Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International.
https://gjournals.org/GJSC
DOI: https://doi.org/10.15580/gjss.2022.1.102024139

Student-Centered Leadership in Universities: Promoting Engagement and Academic Success through Inclusive Management.

Dr. Rose Ngare*

Africa International University, Karen, Nairobi, Kenya.

ARTICLE INFO

Article No.: *102024139*
Full Text: *PDF, PHP, HTML, EPUB, MP3*
DOI: *10.15580/gjss.2022.1.102024139*

Issue Date: *08/04/2022*

Corresponding Author
Dr. Rose Ngare
E-mail: *ngarerose@yahoo.com*

Keywords: *Student-centered leadership, academic success, engagement, inclusive management, higher education, university leadership, student empowerment, academic retention, inclusive practices, leadership models*

ABSTRACT

Student-centered leadership has become a key concept in modern higher education for encouraging student participation and academic performance. This method places a strong emphasis on the value of inclusive management techniques, giving students more agency over their academic careers and creating an atmosphere that is supportive of both learning and personal development. This article looks at how student-centered leadership in higher education fosters an environment that is more inclusive and interactive, which has a direct impact on academic success. The essay emphasizes the value of inclusive leadership in raising student engagement, retention rates, and overall academic success through a review of the research and case studies. It also addresses the difficulties colleges have putting these ideas into reality and provides helpful advice for developing a culture of student-centered leadership. According to the research, universities see better results for both individual students and the organization when leadership places a high priority on student voice, inclusivity, and cooperation.

Introduction

Student-centered leadership, as an alternative to conventional, top-down leadership styles, has been increasingly popular in higher education in recent years. In the past, hierarchical regimes have governed colleges, with leadership choices frequently being made independently of the interests and opinions of their constituents. Still, an increasing number of studies has shown how crucial it is to have students actively participate in creating their educational experiences. According to Kezar (2014) and Astin (1993), the student-centered leadership paradigm promotes inclusive practices, cooperative decision-making, and active participation that gives students the freedom to direct their own learning. The realisation that student participation in their education is positively correlated with enhanced retention rates, academic performance, and personal growth is a major driving force behind this change (Tinto, 2012; Chickering & Gamson, 1987).

Universities are implementing leadership strategies that prioritise student autonomy and cooperation in response to this changing environment. Student-centered leadership, as opposed to more conventional, authoritarian styles, aims to establish settings in which learners are viewed as collaborators in the learning process as opposed to passive consumers of knowledge (Kuh et al., 2005; Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005). This change recognises that, in addition to governance, leadership in higher education involves creating an inclusive community where students' opinions are crucial to institutional decision-making (Keeling, 2004; Bach & Haywood, 2018). According to research, colleges that adopt student-centered leadership models are better able to meet the varied needs of their students and foster an atmosphere that fosters both personal and academic development (Trowler, 2010; Heifetz, 1994).

Purpose of the Study

This article's main goal is to investigate how student-centered leadership in higher education might improve student engagement and foster academic achievement. The article will look at the fundamental ideas of student-centered leadership, emphasizing the importance of shared governance, inclusivity, and student participation in the leadership process. The article's goal is to demonstrate the link between superior academic performance and leadership that places a high priority on student participation by analyzing a range of research. This research will also investigate the best approaches for institutions to adopt student-centered leadership techniques to promote an inclusive learning environment.

The difficulties colleges have implementing student-centered leadership models will also be covered in this article. Implementing more inclusive and participatory leadership structures, for instance, may be hampered by institutional inertia, resource constraints, and ingrained leadership practices (Dufresne, 2016; Stringer, 2014). Nevertheless, despite these difficulties, the advantages of student-centered leadership—such as increased academic achievement, engagement, and satisfaction—are well-established, indicating that colleges stand to gain a great deal from moving towards more inclusive leadership models (Kuh, 2009; Astin, 1999).

Study Statement

Improved academic results and increased student involvement are closely correlated with inclusive environments that are fostered by effective student-centered leadership. University environments that foster the success of individual students as well as the institution can be established by embracing shared decision-making, encouraging students to participate actively in their education, and making sure that leadership practices reflect the needs and aspirations of the student body. In addition to raising student happiness, inclusive leadership improves institutional performance and overall educational quality (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017; Kuh et al., 2010). This article will show how, when done right, student-centered leadership may lead to improved academic performance and a more resilient, involved student population (Boyer, 1990; Kezar, 2006).

Understanding Student-Centered Leadership

After talking about how important student-centered leadership is in today's classrooms, it's important to look at what makes it unique and how it differs from more conventional leadership styles. The reason this shift is necessary is because it enables us to recognize the importance of student-centered leadership in fostering academic achievement and student engagement. Student-centered leadership is emerging as a critical paradigm for creating settings that empower students, improve cooperation, and place a priority on diversity and empathy as institutions shift towards more inclusive and participative practices (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017; Schindler & Goff, 2020).

This section will examine the basic concept of student-centered leadership, emphasizing how it’s essential traits—empathy, inclusion, and teamwork—set it apart from more traditional, hierarchical approaches. We will also look at the shortcomings of conventional leadership models and the necessity of adaptable leadership strategies that address the many requirements of today's student bodies. We may better appreciate how student-centered leadership promotes an active and vibrant academic community by comprehending these interactions (Kuh et al., 2005; Trowler, 2010).

To provide a more thorough grasp of student achievement and institutional performance, the ensuing

section will offer a deeper dive into comprehending the essential components of student-centered leadership and how it differs from previous approaches.

Definition and Key Characteristics

A contemporary leadership approach called "student-centered leadership" places a high value on the needs, opinions, and involvement of students in decision-making processes inside of educational institutions. It is based on the ideas of inclusion, empathy, and teamwork and acknowledges that administrators, teachers, and students should all share responsibility for leadership in higher education rather than having a top-down approach (Kezar, 2006; Kuh et al., 2005). Fundamentally, student-centered leadership places an emphasis on establishing settings in which learners are viewed as engaged collaborators in their academic journey, enabling them to assume accountability for their education and achievement (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017; Anderson & Maxwell, 2016).

Active listening, encouraging candid communication, and developing a participatory culture where students are motivated to participate in decision-making processes are some of the essential elements of student-centered leadership. When creating policies or academic programs, leaders that follow this model show that they are driven by empathy and make sure that students' opinions are heard (Bryk et al., 2010; Schindler & Goff, 2020). Along with emphasising collaborative decision-making, this type of leadership values student input as part of a larger process that seeks to improve the institution's educational environment in a meaningful and long-lasting way (Kuh, 2009; Trowler, 2010). According to Boyer (1990) and Astin (1999), inclusion fosters a more supportive and fair learning environment by ensuring that leadership is attentive to the different needs of students. It also enhances the relationship between students and the institution.

Additionally, student-centered leadership include methods that encourage involvement, perseverance, and retention of students. This paradigm is in line with the general objectives of improving student results and encouraging lifelong learning since it emphasises the holistic development of students—academically, socially, and personally (Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005; Kuh et al., 2010). According to this paradigm, leadership is about creating an inclusive, empowering learning environment where students feel appreciated and encouraged in realising their full potential rather than just being efficient in the administrative sense (Heifetz, 1994; Kuh et al., 2005).

Comparison with Traditional Leadership Models

Conventional leadership models in higher education are frequently typified by authoritarian, hierarchical organizations that concentrate decision-making power in the hands of a few numbers of academics or administrators, with no student participation (Kezar, 2014). These approaches may cause disengagement, especially in students who feel cut off from the systems that impact their social and academic life, since they favour institutional efficiency above student involvement (Tinto, 2012). As universities realize how crucial student participation is to both academic performance and institutional effectiveness, the shortcomings of these approaches are becoming more and more apparent (Chickering & Gamson, 1987; Kuh et al., 2005).

Student-centered leadership is inclusive and encourages shared responsibility and cooperation, in contrast to traditional models that frequently emphasise top-down commands (Bach & Haywood, 2018). This paradigm empowers students, promotes student engagement in governance, and cultivates an accountability culture inside the institution and among the student body. In this situation, leadership ceases to be characterised by authority or power dynamics and becomes a relational process based on mutual respect and understanding (Keeling, 2004; Dufresne, 2016). Student-centered leadership has been demonstrated to increase retention rates and academic achievement by including students as partners in decision-making and fostering a feeling of ownership and belonging among them (Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005; Kuh, 2009).

However, traditional approaches frequently fail to meet the varied demands of today's students, many whom want more individualized, flexible, and hands-on learning opportunities (Astin, 1993; Trowler, 2010). Traditional leadership systems may be unable to provide the feeling of community and participation required for student performance if they just prioritize administrative efficiency and uphold strict control (Schindler & Goff, 2020; Kezar & Holcombe, 2017). As opposed to this, student-centered leadership is adaptive and flexible, allowing for the inclusion of student opinions in institutional decision-making processes and resulting in more pertinent and responsive instructional methods (Kuh et al., 2005; Kezar, 2014).

The transition from conventional to student-centered leadership is indicative of a wider trend in society towards inclusive and democratic political systems. Institutions must adjust to these expectations as students seek more engagement from their educational experiences, or else they run the danger of becoming obsolete and unable to fulfil the changing requirements of their communities (Chickering & Gamson, 1987; Kuh, 2009). Therefore, student-centered leadership is a framework for developing institutions that are more inclusive, flexible, and sensitive to the requirements of the 21st-century learner as well as a model for enhancing academic performance (Boyer, 1990; Heifetz, 1994).

The Importance of Engagement in Academic Success

Now that we have covered the definition of student-centered leadership and its importance in creating an inclusive atmosphere, it is critical to comprehend how these leadership concepts go beyond theory and have an immediate impact on student engagement, which is directly related to academic success. In the classroom, engagement is a dynamic process that actively supports increased performance, retention, and general student pleasure with the learning process rather than just being a passive experience. Prior studies have demonstrated that students' academic performance is much improved when they participate actively in both within and outside of the classroom (Astin, 1993; Tinto, 2012).

To demonstrate how leadership practices may create conditions that promote active involvement, we will examine the crucial relationship between student engagement and academic performance in this section. Beyond administrative responsibilities, leadership—more especially, student-centered leadership—plays a crucial role in establishing institutional environments that value student participation and teamwork. This leadership cultivates a student-centered strategy that encourages participation via inclusive behaviors and establishes a learning-friendly environment on campus. Therefore, to comprehend how leadership may successfully affect results in higher education, it is imperative that we look at the relationship between engagement and academic performance.

The link between student engagement and academic achievement will be covered in the section that follows, with particular attention to the ways in which different leadership models support student engagement, which is positively connected with both long-term student retention and increased academic performance.

Link between Engagement and Academic Outcomes

It is often acknowledged that increasing student engagement is essential to improving their achievement in higher education. Several studies have shown a clear correlation between increased retention rates, better academic achievement, and general university satisfaction with student participation. As per Astin's (1984) Theory of Student Involvement, kids who participate in more extracurricular and academic activities stand a higher chance of succeeding academically. Students who are engaged in the learning process are better able to apply what they have learnt in real-world situations (Kuh, 2009; Tinto, 2012). Additionally, a greater sense of belonging is correlated with student involvement and is a major driver of higher retention rates (Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005).

Additionally, studies have demonstrated that actively involved students are more likely to contribute to class discussions, turn in assignments on time, and persevere in the face of difficulties (Carini et al., 2006; Nagaoka et al., 2013). Students that actively participate in the learning process may access resources, work together with classmates, and get immediate feedback from instructors in a friendly learning environment. Universities that encourage student participation in extracurricular and curricular activities report better academic results as well as more robust social and personal development among their student body (Kuh et al., 2008). Furthermore, participation enhances the quality of the educational experience, which raises student satisfaction and the possibility of repeat enrolment (Schreiner, 2010; Trowler, 2010).

The Role of Leadership in Fostering Engagement

At universities, leadership is essential to creating and preserving a climate that encourages student participation. Strong leadership makes sure that organizations provide environments where students feel empowered to fully engage in both extracurricular and academic activities. This leadership is shaped by the larger ideology and culture that the institution cultivates, in addition to administrative actions. By emphasizing student needs, fostering diversity, and fostering cooperation across all levels of the institution, student-centered leadership significantly influences engagement techniques (Kezar & Holcombe, 2017; Schindler & Goff, 2020).

A student's sense of ownership and responsibility is fostered when leaders use a student-centered approach, which increases the likelihood that engagement techniques will be implemented that prioritize student voice and involvement in decision-making processes (Kuh et al., 2005; Astin, 1993). Additionally, student-centered leadership guarantees that academic programs are both demanding and sensitive to the various requirements of students, giving them the means and chances to participate actively in the curriculum and campus life (Kuh, 2009). Higher levels of involvement result from leadership that values diversity and fosters an inclusive culture because it helps close the gap between students from different origins (Dweck, 2006; Trowler, 2010).

In addition, university administration must take the initiative to give students the chance to participate in extracurricular activities including clubs, volunteer work, internships, and leadership positions within the institution (Zepke & Leach, 2010). In addition to improving academic achievement, this all-encompassing strategy helps students build resilience, leadership abilities, and a feeling of community—all of which improve their entire educational experience (Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005; Kuh et al., 2008).

Inclusive Management Practices in Student-Centered Leadership

It is crucial to acknowledge that inclusive management practices are intricately linked to the tactics employed by university administrators to promote student engagement as we go from

comprehending the crucial role that student engagement plays in academic performance. Ensuring that every student feels valued and represented in institutional choices is a crucial aspect of effective student-centered leadership, in addition to encouraging involvement. This calls for leadership styles that actively include diversity, equity, and inclusion concepts while also going beyond conventional methods. Thus, developing a helpful, interactive atmosphere where all students may succeed requires inclusive management at its core.

By emphasizing inclusion, colleges are tackling structural disparities that might impede the academic progress of marginalized groups in addition to increasing involvement. This section explores the fundamental elements of inclusive management techniques and how colleges might successfully apply these tactics. In addition, we will look at effective case studies that show how inclusive leadership affects academic achievement and student involvement. We will also consider the difficulties and obstacles that educational institutions have in their efforts to create a campus culture that is inclusive.

Definition and Examples of Inclusive Management

In higher education, inclusive management techniques entail a dedication to fostering conditions in which every student, regardless of background, has an equal chance to achieve. Within leadership frameworks, these practices usually give priority to diversity, equity, and inclusion. To promote a culture of shared accountability and responsibility, inclusive management aims to involve all campus stakeholders—faculty, staff, and students—in decision-making processes (Kezar, 2012; Strayhorn, 2019).

Open forums for criticism, leadership structures that actively incorporate students in governance, and open lines of communication between administrators and the student population are a few examples of inclusive management. The establishment of student advisory committees, which enable students to express grievances and make recommendations for modifications to the school, is one instance. The distribution of resources to assist under-represented groups, such as the establishment of mentoring networks, counselling services, and scholarship programs aimed at students from varied backgrounds, is another example of how inclusive approaches are put into effect (Bensimon, 2007; Gregory, 2019).

Addressing systematic injustices in academic rules and processes is another aspect of inclusive management. As an example, a few colleges have set up inclusion task teams or diversity offices whose job it is to audit rules to make sure that every student is treated fairly from the point of admission until they graduate (Patton, 2016; Tierney, 1999). These actions contribute to the university's transformation into a welcoming, student-focused organization.

Case Studies

Numerous academic institutions have effectively used inclusive management strategies, leading to a notable improvement in student involvement. Through programs like the "Inclusive Excellence" framework, which incorporates diversity and inclusion across all facets of the university's operations, including curriculum development, student affairs, and faculty hiring practices, the University of California, Berkeley, for instance, is well-known for its dedication to inclusivity (UC Berkeley, 2020). According to Gurin et al. (2002), these initiatives have enhanced satisfaction among a variety of student groups and raised retention rates for students.

In a similar vein, other universities can learn from the University of Michigan's "Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI)" strategy, which places a strong emphasis on student leadership, faculty development, and collaboration with local communities to foster an inclusive academic environment (Harper, 2015; Hill, 2020). This approach results in increased engagement and academic performance by giving students chances for academic growth, leadership training, and mentorship in addition to empowering them to participate in governance.

Conversely, research has demonstrated that inclusive management practices-deficient institutions typically experience decreased student involvement, especially from under-represented students (Tinto, 2012; Hurtado & Carter, 1997). These case studies demonstrate how inclusive management may effectively create an atmosphere that values participation and caters to the requirements of a wide range of students.

Barriers to Implementation

Even though inclusive management has been shown to have advantages, implementing these approaches at many colleges is not easy. One of the main obstacles is resistance from the academics. In established hierarchical institutions where conventional authority figures are less likely to share decision-making power with students, faculty may be reluctant to alter their methods of instruction or administration (Kezar, 2013; Clements et al., 2017). Attempts to establish an inclusive atmosphere may be hampered by this reluctance.

Significant challenges are also presented by resource limitations. Institutions may find it difficult to finance diversity programs, particularly in areas where budget cuts for higher education have resulted from financial strain (Bensimon, 2007). Universities may struggle to establish successful venues for student involvement, such as leadership development courses, cultural festivals, or community-building exercises, without sufficient financing for diversity initiatives (Hurtado, 2007; Patton, 2016).

Another big problem is institutional inertia. Implementing inclusive management solutions may be challenging for universities with lengthy histories of ingrained practices and regulations, especially if top administrators don't show a strong commitment to diversity or if leadership changes don't happen regularly (Tierney, 1999; Tinto, 2012). In these situations, enacting significant reforms frequently necessitates persistent academic and student campaigning in addition to backing from university administration.

The Impact of Student-Centered Leadership on Academic Success

After discussing inclusive management strategies and how they help create a welcoming atmosphere for students, it is important to look at the wider effects that student-centered leadership may have on academic performance. This section's focus allows us to clearly observe how leadership tactics and quantifiable academic achievements overlap. The earlier conversation on the obstacles and optimal methods of inclusive management sets the stage for realizing the direct effects of these strategies on student achievement, retention, and general contentment.

Specifically, strong academic outcomes are mostly driven by student-centered leadership, a relationship that has been repeatedly confirmed by empirical research and student feedback. As we go deeper into this area, we'll examine the mounting body of research that connects student-centered leadership models with improved academic performance and consider the experiences that students have had working within these systems.

Empirical Evidence

A increasing body of data showing that student-centered leadership has a favorable impact on academic attainment is supported by research. Research has demonstrated a strong link between enhanced retention rates, academic achievement, and general satisfaction and leadership styles that place a priority on student involvement. For example, Kuh et al. (2006) found that retention and graduation rates at higher education institutions were positively influenced by leadership techniques that promoted active student involvement in extracurricular and academic activities (Kuh et al., 2006). Astin (1993) also highlighted the importance of student participation in learning settings and proposed that improved academic achievements result from leadership models that enable students to take charge of their education. According to Tinto (1997), student-centered leadership fosters personalized engagement, collaborative learning, and active learning—all of which are essential for academic achievement.

Additionally, empirical evidence shows that educational institutions that implement student-centered leadership strategies see improvements in academic performance among a variety of student demographics. According to Kahu's (2013) extensive research, for instance, students' sense of belonging was enhanced by inclusive leadership techniques, and this had a direct impact on academic accomplishment (Kahu, 2013). This link between success and leadership is consistent with new research highlighting the value of adaptable leadership strategies in contemporary learning environments (Canning et al., 2019). Success requires leadership that puts students' needs and desires first and gives them a sense of control over their education, especially in varied and multicultural settings (Bovill et al., 2016).

Feedback from Students

When it comes to the efficacy of student-centered leadership, most students who have voiced their opinions have done so in a favourable way. In fact, many of them have linked their academic success to the use of more inclusive and participatory leadership strategies. Dunn and Rakes (2018) have reported that when students are provided with individualised support, given a voice in decision-making, and given a platform to voice their thoughts, they participate in qualitative research at higher levels. James and Hill (2015) found that when students felt that their academic success was genuinely cared about by university authorities, their academic excitement and attention to studying significantly increased (James & Hill, 2015).

According to students at different institutions, leadership styles that prioritise open communication, openness, and active student engagement not only help students succeed academically but also help them grow personally and strengthen their feeling of community on campus (Freeman et al., 2014). As an illustration, a group of students at a significant UK institution commended their leadership for coming up with programs that catered to their needs and improved their overall academic performance (Klem & Connell, 2004). Students under such leadership styles frequently report improved personal experiences that are indicative of a stronger feeling of belonging. This is consistent with research by Walton and Cohen (2011) that links increased general well-being with higher academic achievement.

Additionally, student testimonies demonstrate how inclusive leadership creates a positive feedback loop; students are more likely to actively participate in their education and achieve academic achievement when they feel involved and encouraged (Baxter Magolda, 2004). According to this feedback, student-centered leadership is successful when it can produce meaningful, student-focused solutions that cater to both the individual and group needs of kids.

Recommendations for Universities

After discussing inclusive management strategies and how they help create a welcoming

atmosphere for students, it is important to look at the wider effects that student-centered leadership may have on academic performance. This section's focus allows us to clearly observe how leadership tactics and quantifiable academic achievements overlap. The earlier conversation on the obstacles and optimal methods of inclusive management sets the stage for realizing the direct effects of these strategies on student achievement, retention, and general contentment. Specifically, strong academic outcomes are mostly driven by student-centered leadership, a relationship that has been repeatedly confirmed by empirical research and student feedback. As we go deeper into this area, we'll examine the mounting body of research that connects student-centered leadership models with improved academic performance and consider the experiences that students have had working within these systems.

Best Practices for Implementing Student-Centered Leadership

Universities must give top priority to several crucial best practices that promote an inclusive, engaged, and academically successful culture to successfully deploy student-centered leadership models. Establishing leadership structures that put students at the centre of decision-making is the first step in this process. Creating cooperative leadership teams including members from the administration, teachers, and student body is a basic procedure. According to Kuh (2009) and Tinto (2012), this inclusive governance strategy guarantees that the needs and viewpoints of people most affected by decisions made by leaders are taken into consideration. Collaboration systems like this encourage openness, responsibility, and confidence between the student body and the administration (Astin, 1999; Wang & Degol, 2016).

Making an investment in the customization of extracurricular and academic activities is another essential approach. This may be done via providing individualized academic routes, peer advising, and mentoring programs that are matched to each student's unique learning needs and objectives (Kuh et al., 2011; Chickering & Gamson, 1987). Universities should also use technology to improve student participation. Some examples of this include incorporating digital platforms for feedback, learning analytics, and communication. This guarantees that students are empowered to take charge of their academic path in addition to becoming active participants in their education (Kuh et al., 2014; Seaman, 2020).

Universities should also stress how important it is to develop a campus culture that is focused on the needs of the students. This may be achieved via encouraging candid communication, making sure that a wide range of students hold leadership positions, and creating a friendly atmosphere in which every student feels appreciated (Tinto, 2012; Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005). Creating areas that promote teamwork and community building is crucial for developing an inclusive climate since the physical and psychological campus environment has a big impact on student involvement and achievement (Astin, 1999; Harper & Quaye, 2009).

Training and Development

Universities need to offer comprehensive academic and administrative staff training and development programs for student-centered leadership models to be successfully adopted. Teachers must be educated in inclusive teaching methods that consider the various learning requirements and styles of their pupils, as they are the main point of contact for them. According to Miller et al. (2017) and Brookfield (2015), faculty development programs should place a strong emphasis on the value of student participation and provide useful tips for creating dynamic learning environments. Additionally, as good student-teacher connections have been related to better levels of student engagement and academic performance, professional development should include instruction on how to cultivate supportive relationships with students (Kuh et al., 2011; Pace, 1984).

To promote student-centered leadership in their interactions with students, administrative staff members also need to get training. This entails learning techniques for student advocacy, dispute resolution, and communication that adheres to the values of empowerment and inclusion (Sanders, 2017; Healey et al., 2014). Moreover, continuous professional development is necessary for university leadership teams to promote inclusive leadership and decision-making methods. One way to guarantee that leadership behaviors are in line with institutional principles of inclusivity and justice is to provide training on equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI) methods (Harper, 2012; Astin, 1999).

Apart from official training courses, academic institutions must encourage the development of a leadership attitude among their personnel. This entails motivating teachers and staff to see themselves as components of a larger leadership ecosystem that fosters a climate that is inclusive and focused on the needs of students (Greenleaf, 1977; Komives et al., 2009). University employees can interact with students and support their achievement more successfully when they have the proper resources, information, and mentality. This helps to create an atmosphere where students' personal and academic development are valued.

Conclusion

This article has discussed the vital role that student-centered leadership plays in encouraging engagement and academic performance in higher education. Research continuously demonstrates that

student needs, voice, and active involvement are prioritized in leadership models that lead to increased student engagement and better academic results (Kuh, 2009; Astin, 1999). According to Tinto (2012) and Kuh et al. (2011), student-centered leadership places a strong emphasis on inclusive management techniques, individualized academic experiences, and teamwork to enable students to take charge of their education and campus life.

We discussed how inclusive management strategies improve student involvement and provide a more encouraging learning environment. These approaches centre on shared leadership and equal decision-making responsibilities for students (Sanders, 2017; Harper & Quaye, 2009). Additionally, the paper included actual data demonstrating how student-centered leadership approaches raise academic success, retention, and satisfaction (Wang & Degol, 2016; Kuh et al., 2014). The results also point to potential obstacles that universities may encounter when putting these models into practice, such as institutional resistance and resource limitations, but these difficulties are surmountable with careful preparation and training (Greenleaf, 1977; Seaman, 2020).

Future Implications

The future effects of student-centered leadership on higher education are significant. Student-centered leadership may offer the foundation for more responsive, adaptable, and inclusive learning environments as colleges continue to adjust to an increasingly diverse and digital context (Komives et al., 2009; Brookfield, 2015). In order to ensure that students are active members of their learning communities rather than only passive beneficiaries of education, future leadership practices in higher education must place a strong priority on inclusion, flexibility, and empowerment (Chickering & Gamson, 1987; Healey et al., 2014).

These activities have a big influence on society. Students who feel empowered and involved in the classroom are more likely to grow up to be responsible, knowledgeable, and involved members of society (Pascarella & Terenzini, 2005; Miller et al., 2017). Long-term graduates from institutions that embrace student-centered leadership models will be better prepared to handle and make constructive contributions to a variety of challenging global issues, such as social justice and economic sustainability (Kuh, 2009; Seaman, 2020). As a result, the move towards student-centered leadership is not just required of academic institutions but also of society at large, with the potential to propel systemic change both within and outside of the classroom.

References

Astin, A. W. (1984). *Student involvement: A developmental theory for higher education*. Journal of College Student Development, 25(4), 297-308.

Astin, A. W. (1993). *What matters in college? Four critical years revisited*. Jossey-Bass.

Astin, A. W. (1999). *Student involvement: A developmental theory for higher education*. Journal of College Student Development, 40(5), 518-529.

Astin, A. W. (1999). *Student engagement in higher education*. Jossey-Bass.

Bach, M., & Haywood, P. (2018). *The changing role of student leadership in universities: Inclusive leadership and student engagement.* Journal of Educational Leadership, 24(1), 112-128.

Baxter Magolda, M. B. (2004). *Making their own way: Narrative identity in emerging adulthood.* Vanderbilt University Press.

Bovill, C., Cook-Sather, A., & Felten, P. (2016). *Engaging students as partners in learning and teaching: A case study approach.* Routledge.

Boyer, E. L. (1990). *Scholarship reconsidered: Priorities of the professoriate.* The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.

Brookfield, S. D. (2015). *The skillful teacher: On technique, trust, and responsiveness in the classroom.* Jossey-Bass.

Canning, E. A., Muenks, K., Green, D. M., & Murphy, M. C. (2019). *STEM faculty can promote student success through effective leadership and teaching approaches.* Journal of Higher Education, 90(3), 346-370.

Carini, R. M., Kuh, G. D., & Klein, S. P. (2006). *Student engagement and student learning: Testing the linkages.* Journal of College Student Development, 47(1), 1-20.

Chickering, A. W., & Gamson, Z. F. (1987). *Seven principles for good practice in undergraduate education.* AAHE Bulletin, 39(7), 3-7.

Dufresne, S. L. (2016). *Student-centered leadership in practice: Developing participatory models in higher education.* Journal of College and University Leadership, 12(4), 304-320.

Dunn, L., & Rakes, G. (2018). *Student-centered leadership in modern universities: Benefits and implications.* Journal of Leadership Education, 17(2), 45-60.

Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success.* Random House.

Freeman, M., Anderman, L. H., & Jensen, J. M. (2014). *Student engagement and academic success: A comparative analysis.* College Student Journal, 48(3), 456-470.

Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.

Harper, S. R. (2012). *Creating inclusive campus environments for student success*. Journal of College and University Student Housing, 38(1), 6-20.

Harper, S. R., & Quaye, S. J. (2009). *Student engagement in higher education: Theoretical perspectives and practical approaches for diverse populations*. Routledge.

Healey, M., Flint, A., & Harrington, K. (2014). *Engagement through partnership: Students as partners in learning and teaching in higher education.* HEA.

Heifetz, R. A. (1994). *Leadership without easy answers*. Harvard University Press.

James, K., & Hill, M. (2015). *Leadership, student engagement, and academic success in higher education.* Journal of College Student Development, 56(5), 474-487.

James, R., & Hill, M. (2015). *Academic success and student leadership: A critical analysis of practice in higher education*. Journal of Educational Leadership, 13(4), 412-427.

Kahu, E. R. (2013). *Framing student engagement in higher education.* Studies in Higher Education, 38(5), 723-743.

Keeling, R. P. (2004). *Learning reconsidered: A campus-wide focus on the student experience.* American College Personnel Association & National Association of Student PersonnelAdministrators.

Kezar, A. (2006). *Fostering student engagement through student-centered leadership.* Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies, 13(2), 1-14.

Kezar, A. (2014). *Student-centered leadership: A strategy for academic success in higher education.* Journal of Higher Education, 85(1), 14-32.

Kezar, A., & Holcombe, E. (2017). *The changing role of higher education leaders: From manager to change agent.* The Journal of Higher Education, 88(3), 418-441.

Komives, S. R., Lucas, N., & McMahon, T. R. (2009*). Exploring leadership: For college students who want to make a difference.* Jossey-Bass.

Kuh, G. D. (2009). *What student affairs professionals need to know about student engagement.* Journal of College Student Development, 50(6), 683-706.

Kuh, G. D. (2009). *Student success in college: Creating conditions that matter*. Jossey-Bass.

Kuh, G. D. (2009). *The national survey of student engagement: Conceptual and empirical foundations.* New Directions for Institutional Research, 141, 5-20.

Kuh, G. D., Cruce, T. M., Shoup, R., Kinzie, J., & Gonyea, R. M. (2014). *Connecting the dots: Multi-institutional studies of student engagement.* International Journal of Educational Research, 64, 1-14.

Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Buckley, J. A., Bridges, B. K., & Hayek, J. C. (2005). *What matters to student success: A review of the literature.* National Postsecondary Education Cooperative.

Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Buckley, J. A., Bridges, B. K., & Hayek, J. C. (2006). *What matters to student success: A review of the literature.* Commissioned Report for the National Symposium on Student Retention, National Postsecondary Education Cooperative.

Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Buckley, J. A., Bridges, B. K., & Hayek, J. C. (2011). *Piecing together the student success puzzle: Research, propositions, and recommendations*. ASHE Higher Education Report, 36(6), 1-181.

Nagaoka, J., et al. (2013). *Closing the opportunity gap: What student engagement data tell us about college readiness.* University of Chicago Consortium on Chicago School Research.

Pascarella, E. T., & Terenzini, P. T. (2005). *How college affects students: A third decade of research (Vol. 2).* Jossey-Bass.

Sanders, L. R. (2017*). Effective administrative leadership in higher education.* American Council on Education.

Schreiner, L. A. (2010). *The influence of student involvement on college student retention*. Journal of College Student Development, 51(3), 221-234.

Seaman, J. E. (2020*). Digital learning: A comprehensive view of the impact and state of online education.* EDUCAUSE Review.

Tinto, V. (1997). *Classrooms as communities: Exploring the educational character of student persistence.* Journal of Higher Education, 68(6), 599-623.

Tinto, V. (2012). *Enhancing student success: Taking the classroom seriously*. VSO/HEA.

Trowler, V. (2010). *Student engagement: An overview of literature and research.* Springer.

Walton, G. M., & Cohen, G. L. (2011). *A brief social-belonging intervention improves academic and health outcomes of minority students.* Science, 331(6023), 1447-1451.

Wang, M. T., & Degol, J. L. (2016). *School climate: A review of the construct, measurement, and impact on student outcomes.* Educational Psychology Review, 28(2), 315-352.

Zepke, N., & Leach, L. (2010). *Improving student engagement: Ten propositions for teaching and learning.* Studies in Higher Education, 35(3), 245-264.

About the Author: Dr. Rose Boyani Ngare

With a plethora of expertise in educational leadership and administration, Dr. Rose Boyani Ngare is an outstanding scholar and educator. She graduated with a master's degree in educational administration and planning from the Catholic University of Eastern Africa (CUEA) and a doctorate in education leadership-higher learning from ASPEN University, USA. She also has a Bachelor of Arts in Teaching from Stanton

University in the United States, with a focus on English and Business Studies, to round out her education.

Dr. Ngare has made significant contributions to the academic community throughout the course of a varied teaching career that has taken her to various prestigious universities. She has been a professor at prominent colleges including Africa International University and the Catholic University of Eastern Africa. She now works at KAG-East University as the Head of the Department of Education and a member of the University Senate, where she oversees the educational initiatives and cultivates a culture of academic success.

In addition to her duties as a teacher, Dr. Ngare is a key member of the graduate research supervision team. At Adventist University of Africa, she oversees master's and PhD theses, offering advice and support to burgeoning academics. To further contribute her knowledge to the academic world, she is also employed by Nazarene University in Kenya as an External Assessor for master's thesis.

Dr. Ngare's commitment to leadership and education is demonstrated by her leadership positions as well as her scholarly endeavours. Her impact as a well-respected educator will continue to alter education in Kenya and elsewhere.

Cite this Article: Student-Centered Leadership in Universities: Promoting Engagement and Academic Success through Inclusive Management, Kenya. *Greener Journal of Social Sciences*, 12(1): 42-51, https://doi.org/10.15580/gjss.2022.1.102024139.